UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,504	04/13/2005	John J. Renger	21245YP	9078
210 MERCK AND	7590 12/01/200 CO., INC	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2000		JAVANMARD, SAHAR		
RAHWAY, NJ	U/U03-U9U/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,504	RENGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617		

	LXUIIIICI	Air oille				
	SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contains the properties of the properties. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation page.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/	/S. J./					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617	Examiner, Art Unit 1617					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance. Applicants contend that the claimed invention may be practiced without undue experimentation. Examiner respectfully notes that although the compounds may have certain properties that have specific acertainable properties, Applicants admit that the invention is not directed to "a particular compound per se" and 'that they vary widely in structure". As a result, the Examiner has yet to be convinced that although there may be a select group of compounds that possess such properties, it is the broad scope of the claim that requires all compounds known to man to have to be tested to determine whether or not the compounds in fact possess these properties. There is no guidance on how to even narrow the scope of compounds based on a particular common core feature among the compounds to be tested, even though there may be a method to readily identify them as such.